



Entered on Docket
October 07, 2011

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Linda B. Riegle".

Hon. Linda B. Riegle
United States Bankruptcy Judge

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
Laurel E. Davis (NV Bar No. 3005)
Craig S. Dunlap (NV Bar No. 4974)
Jeffrey J. Steffen (NV Bar No. 9912)
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 692-8000
Facsimile: (702) 692-8099
ldavis@fclaw.com

Attorneys for MB-Bona, LLC and OUTSOURCE
SERVICES MANAGEMENT, LLC, dba Presidium
Asset Solutions, Interested Parties

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

INTEGRATED FINANCIAL
ASSOCIATES, INC., a Nevada
Corporation,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. BK-11-13537-LBR

**ORDER GRANTING AMENDED
MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE
AUTOMATIC STAY**

Hearing Date: September 28, 2011
Hearing Time: 3:00 p.m.
Location: 300 Las Vegas Blvd. South
Courtroom #1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

The Amended Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay ("Motion") filed by MB-BONA, LLC ("MB-Bona") and OUTSOURCE SERVICES MANAGEMENT, LLC, dba Presidium Asset Solutions ("OSM"), the Managing Member of MB-Bona,

1 came on regularly for hearing with appearances noted on the record. The Court having
2 considered the Motion and the Debtor's Statement of Limited Opposition to the Motion,
3 and other good cause appearing,

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT relief from the automatic stay as set forth in
5 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) is granted to allow any party to file any and all claims for affirmative
6 relief against the Debtor in the non-bankruptcy litigation entitled Integrated Financial
7 Associates, Inc. v. Marshall Bank, N.A., et al., U.S. District Court for the Central
8 District of California, Southern Division, Case No. EDCV 10-00209-AG (Opx) (the
9 "Counter-Claims" and the "Non-Bankruptcy Litigation," respectively).

10 The automatic stay continues to remain lifted with respect to the prosecution of
11 all defenses to the Non-Bankruptcy Litigation and to the Debtor's operative Complaint
12 filed in that litigation (the "Debtor's Non-Bankruptcy Complaint"), and to proceeding
13 with all discovery as well as to judgment on the Debtor's Non-Bankruptcy Complaint,
14 and on all defenses to the Debtor's Non-Bankruptcy Complaint. The parties in the Non-
15 Bankruptcy Litigation further may proceed with all motion practice, including, but not
16 limited to, motions to dismiss the Debtor's Non-Bankruptcy Complaint, with prejudice,
17 for the Debtor's failure to appoint counsel in the Non-Bankruptcy Litigation, or for any
18 other reason whatsoever. Pending disposition of such motion(s) to dismiss the Debtor's
19 Non-Bankruptcy Complaint, the parties in the Non-Bankruptcy Litigation may not
20 prosecute the Counter-Claims without further order of this Court.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 SUBMITTED BY:

23 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

24
25 By: /s/ Craig S. Dunlap
26 Craig S. Dunlap
Counsel for MB-Bona, LLC and

1 OUTSOURCE SERVICES MANAGEMENT, LLC

2 APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

3
4 By: _____

5 Candace Carlyon

6 Counsel for Vestin Realty Mortgage II Inc

7 APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

8
9 By: _____

10 Alan R. Smith

11 Counsel for the Debtor

12
13 APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

14
15 By: _____

16 Athanasios Agelakopoulos

17 Counsel for United States Trustee's Office

18 APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

19
20 By: _____

21 Ryan Works

22 Counsel for Kenneth Templeton and Steven Kalb

23
24
25
26 ///

1
2 APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

3
4 By: _____
Dawn Cica

5 Counsel for Edward Erganian Investments, LLP

6
7 ###
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

LR 9021 CERTIFICATION

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

☐ The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

☐ No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.

☒ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

	APPROVED	DISAPPROVED	NO RESPONSE
Candace Carlyon			X
Alan Smith			X
Athanasios Agelakopolous			X
Ryan Works			X
Dawn Cica			X

☐ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Mia Hurtado
An Employee of Fennemore Craig, P.C.